Kinetics and Mechanism of Solid-State Reaction between Cyclooctasulfur and Nickel Oxide

J. P. MISHRA AND MRS. LAKSHMI

Department of Chemistry, University of Gorakhpur, Gorakhpur-273001, U.P., India

Received March 12, 1982; in revised form June 21, 1982

The mechanism of reaction between sulfur and nickel oxide in the solid state was studied by chemical analysis, TGA, and capillary diffusion methods. Nickel oxide and sulfur react in the molar ratio 3:1 to give Ni₃S₅ · 3H₂O. The data for lateral diffusion fit best in the equation $\xi = kt$. It has been also suggested by thermal analysis that S⁻² ions are formed which are exchanged by 0⁻² ions.

Introduction

The solid-state reaction between powder sulfur and nickel oxide becomes interesting from the point of view of the S_8 ring. The formation of the final compound, hydrated trinickelpentasulfide (Ni₃S₅ \cdot 3H₂O), leads to a conclusion that molecular sulfur (1) becomes ionic sulfur by breaking of the S₈ ring during the course of solid-state reactions between sulfur and nickel oxide, NiO_{1+x} $\cdot \frac{1}{3}$ H₂O, where $x \approx 1$, surplus oxygen. The breaking of the S₈ ring tempted us to investigate this reaction. The present paper describes the kinetics and mechanism of solid-state reaction between sulfur powder (S₈) and nickel oxide (NiO_{1+x} $\cdot \frac{1}{3}$ H₂O), where $Ni_3S_5 \cdot 3H_2O$ is the final product.

Experimental

Materials

Nickel oxide (NiO₁+ $x \cdot \frac{1}{3}H_2O$, $x \approx 1$, surplus oxygen). The basic nickel carbonate used was of 99.98% purity (Sarabhai Chemicals). Its decomposition temperature is reported to be 230°C (2). Nickel oxide $(NiO_1+x \cdot \frac{1}{3}H_2O)$, where $x \approx 1$, surplus oxygen (2-4), was prepared by heating basic nickel carbonate NiCO₃ · 2Ni(OH)₂ · 4H₂O at 240°C for 6 hr. The presence of H₂O molecule in NiO_{1+x} · $\frac{1}{3}H_2O$ has been confirmed by TG analysis.

The dynamic TGA of nickel oxide, carried out with the help of a thermogravimetric analyser (Fertilizer Corporation of India Ltd., Sindri) in a platinum crucible, given in Fig. 1, shows that dehydration occurs from $80-240^{\circ}$ C. The calculated amount of $\frac{1}{3}$ water molecule comes out to be 6.2% against an observed value of 6.6%. M. Prasad, M. G. Tendulkar, M. Le Blanc, and H. Sachse (2) have reported that blackcolor nickel oxide contains one atom of surplus oxygen interstitially associated with the lattice. On heating to 800°C the greencolor compound of NiO has also been confirmed by them. They have also reported that black nickel oxide containing surplus oxygen becomes reactive in the presence of other reactants (2). D. K. Goralevitsch (2)



FIG. 1. Dynamic TG analysis of nickel oxide.

has reported that hydrated nickelous oxide containing surplus oxygen liberates its surplus (active) oxygen by the action of con \cdot H₂SO₄ · (AR). As soon as nickel oxide was reacted with sulfuric acid, the residue turned green (NiO) from black, and finally dissolved on stirring with a glass rod. This shows that surplus oxygen was liberated by the action of sulfuric acid. The TGA also indicates a steep loss from 360–800°C. The observed value (12.4%) for the loss of one atom of oxygen from nickel oxide agrees within experimental error with respect to the calculated value (16.5%).

Cyclooctasulfur. Cyclooctasulfur (BDH) was used at 99.9% purity. The molecular weight determination (Rast's method using naphthalene as solvent) showed that the observed value (255) corresponds to the theoretical value (256) for the S_8 molecule.

Preparation of Compound

Fresh nickel oxide (NiO_{1+x} $\cdot \frac{1}{3}$ H₂O, 100 mesh) and cyclooctasulfur (S₈, 100 mesh) were mixed in a 3:1 molar ratio and crushed in an agate mortar. The mixture was heated in an air oven at 105 ± 1°C for

17 hr. The process of heating and crushing was repeated several times in order to get a homogeneous compound. Chemical analysis of Ni and S (5) as given in Table IV showed that the compound is $Ni_3S_5 \cdot 3H_2O$.

Rate measurement. The kinetics of reaction in solid state were studied by pressing $NiO_{1+x} \cdot \frac{1}{3}H_2O$ over powder sulfur S₈ (each 100 mesh) in a Pyrex capillary of internal diameter 0.25 cm (6). One end of the capillary was sealed with asbestos powder. The capillary was then held vertical and the sulfur powder was gently pressed by placing a thinner glass rod into the reaction capillary. $NiO_{1+x} \cdot \frac{1}{3}H_2O$ was placed over the sulfur layer in the capillary with the help of a glass rod. The capillary was tapered vertically in order to get nice packing and a sharp distinguished line of demarcation between the black and vellow colors, as shown in Fig. 2. The progress of the reaction was followed by measuring with a traveling microscope (least count 0.001 cm) the total thickness of the product layer $(1_2 - 1_1) = \xi$, given in Table I, formed at the interface.

Each experiment was run in triplicate and the averge values of the different sets reported are quite satisfactory. After the placement of the capillary in the oven at 100 \pm 1°C, an ash color first appeared and was then converted to black. The kinetics were studied at different temperatures. The data are given in Table II.

TGA (isothermal.) The fresh NiO_{1+x} $\cdot \frac{1}{3}$ H₂O was prepared by heating basic nickel carbonate (NiCO₃ $\cdot 2$ Ni(OH)₂ $\cdot 4$ H₂O) in an oven at 240 \pm 1°C for 6 hr. The experimental value of NiO_{1+x} $\cdot \frac{1}{3}$ H₂O obtained by isothermal TGA agrees well with the theoretical value given in Table III. A mixture of freshly prepared



FIG. 2. Direction of diffusion of sulfur.

| 95°C | | 100°C | | 105°C | | 110°C | | 115°C | |
|--------------|--|--------------|--|--------------|--|--------------|--|--------------|---------------------------|
| Time (hr) | $\frac{\xi \times 10^{-3}}{(\text{cm})}$ | Time (hr) | $\xi \times 10^{-3}$ (cm) |
| 4 | 44.6 | 4 | 52.6 | 4 | 87.5 | 4 | 76.0 | 4 | 93.3 |
| 10 | 70.3 | 10 | 75.6 | 6 | 100.0 | 10 | 138.3 | 8 | 131.3 |
| 14 | 75.6 | 14 | 80.6 | 10 | 113.5 | 15 | 153.3 | 12 | 162.6 |
| 22 | 111.0 | 20 | 114.3 | 18 | 135.5 | 28 | 241.6 | 16 | 184.0 |
| 33 | 120.0 | 25 | 131.6 | 25 | 178.5 | 34 | 261.6 | 20 | 210.0 |
| 37 | 125.6 | 38 | 163.3 | 34 | 242.5 | 46 | 300.0 | 24 | 231.3 |
| 44 | 136.3 | 44 | 181.6 | 38 | 249.0 | 52 | 316.6 | 28 | 255.3 |
| 52 | 147.0 | 48 | 198.3 | 44 | 255.0 | 60 | 336.6 | 32 | 268.6 |
| | | 55 | 206.6 | 50 | 263.5 | | | 36 | 288.6 |
| | | | | 58 | 268.5 | | | 40 | 305.6 |
| | | | | | | | | 44 | 311.6 |
| | | | | | | | | 48 | 331.3 |
| | | | | | | | | 52 | 348.0 |
| | | | | | | | | 58 | 366.6 |

TABLE I

Diffusion Study of S_8 and $NiO_{1+x} \cdot \frac{1}{3}H_2O$ at Different Temperatures (ξ = Band Width in cm)

 $NiO_{1+x} \cdot \frac{1}{3}H_2O$ and S_8 in a 3:1 molar ratio was heated at 105 ± 1°C for 17 hr in order to get the final product. The isothermal TGA data indicated that a favorable experimental quantity remained at the end with respect to the theoretically calculated value for Ni₃S₅ · 3H₂O given in Table III. During the course of reaction SO₂ gas evolved in two steps, shown in Fig. 3, and gave a weight loss in isothermal TG analysis which corresponded to the theoretical value given in Table III.

Comparison of X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the reactants and product. The powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the reactants and product were taken with an X-ray diffractometer at Bhabha Atomic Re-

TABLE II

| Temp. (°C) | K cm ⁿ /hr | n error in n | Activation energy |
|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 95 | 2.761×10^{-4} | 2.17 + 0.17 | |
| 100 | 6.918 × 10 ⁻⁴ | 2.00 0.00 | |
| 105 | 13.62×10^{-4} | 1.99 - 0.01 | 25.5 kcal/mole |
| 110 | 22.28×10^{-4} | 1.95 - 0.05 | |
| 115 | 28.44×10^{-4} | 1.92 - 0.08 | |

search Centre, Bombay, using $CuK\alpha$ radiation.

In order to establish the identity of $Ni_3S_5 \cdot 3H_2O$ with respect to other phases participating in the reaction, a comparative



FIG. 3. Isothermal TG analysis of a mixture (3:1) of nickel oxide and cyclooctasulfur; Plot of α (fractional mass loss) vs time at different temperatures.

TABLE III

TGA OF BASIC NICKEL CARBONATE, NICKEL OXIDE, AND HYDRATED TRINICKEL PENTASULFIDE

| | Calculated | Observed | Error |
|--|--------------|-----------|-------|
| | value (g) | value (g) | (%) |
| Amount of basic nickel carbonate taken | n = 0.4220 g | | |
| H_2O (in NiCO ₃ · 2Ni(OH) ₂ · 4 H_2O | 0.0806 | 0.0759 | 1.1 |
| $NiO_{1+x}(x \approx 1, surplus oxygen)$ | 0.2700 | 0.2650 | 1.8 |
| $NiO_{1+x} \cdot \frac{1}{3}H_2O(x \approx 1)$ | 0.2870 | 0.2860 | 1.5 |
| H_2O in $NiO_{1+x} \cdot \frac{1}{3}H_2O$ | 0.0261 | 0.0278 | 0.4 |
| Amount of mixture taken = 0.5560 g | | | |
| Ni ₃ S ₅ | 0.3427 | 0.3376 | 1.7 |
| $Ni_3S_5 \cdot 3H_2O$ | 0.3900 | 0.3810 | 2.5 |
| $SO_2 + H_2O$ | 0.2142 | 0.2190 | 2.2 |
| H_2O in $Ni_3S_5 \cdot 3H_2O$ | 14% | 13% | 1 |

study of X-ray powder patterns has been given in Table V. The *d* values of the final product do not tally with the reported *d* values for NiS, NiS₂, Ni₃S₂, Ni₃S₄, and Ni₆S₅ (7). This also indicates that a new compound has been obtained.

Discussion

For a comprehensive understanding of the solid-state reaction between sulfur and nickel oxide, the following aspects are considered to be important.

1. Diffusion tendency of sulfur in nickel oxide.

2. Mechanism of chemical interaction between cyclooctasulfur and nickel oxide.

1. Diffusion Tendency of Sulfur in Nickel Oxide

It has been observed that sulfur diffuses in black nickel oxide when both are packed in a capillary and produces an ash-color band which gradually increases and becomes black with time. A sharp boundary was found at the interface and the thickness of the sulfur band was not changed with time, while the thickness of the nickel oxide band was decreased gradually. This shows that only sulfur diffuses (3) toward nickel oxide. Chang *et al.* (8) have also reported the diffusion of sulfur in nickel oxide. The thickness of the product band increases with time and the reaction continues. The

TABLE IV

Chemical Analysis for Ni and S in Basic Nickel Carbonate, Nickel Oxide, and Hydrated Trinickel Pentasulfide

| | Calculated value (%) | Observed value (%) | Error (%) |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Ni (in NiCO ₃ \cdot 2Ni(OH) ₂ \cdot 4H ₂ O) | 46.8 | 45.1 | 1.7 |
| Ni (in NiO _{1+x} $\cdot \frac{1}{3}H_2O$) | 64.7 | 66.6 | 1.9 |
| Ni (in $Ni_3S_5 \cdot 3H_2O$) | 45.1 | 47 | 1.9 |
| S (in $Ni_3S_5 \cdot 3H_2O$) | 41 | 39 | 2 |

| Reactant NiO _{1+x} · $\frac{1}{3}$ H ₂ O | | | | Reactant (S | S ₈) | Intermediate compound 3NiO:6.5S ²⁻ First stage | | | $\begin{array}{c} Compound \\ (Ni_3S_5 \cdot 3H_2O) \end{array}$ | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------|------|-----------------|------------------|--|-----------------|--------------|--|-----------------|--------------|
| 20° | d values (Å) | <i>I/I</i> 0 | 20° | d values (Å) | <i>I/I</i> 0 | 20° | d values (Å) | <i>I/I</i> 0 | 20° | d values (Å) | <i>I/I</i> 0 |
| 20.0 | 4.4394 | 20.9 | 11.6 | 7.6283 | 7.17 | 15.4 | 5.7536 | 18 | 19.5 | 4.5521 | 37.5 |
| 37.2 | 2.4169 | 70 | 15.5 | 5.7166 | 13.45 | 21.9 | 4.0583 | 21 | 20.9 | 4.2502 | 100 |
| 43.2 | 2.0941 | 100 | 22.0 | 4.0401 | 15.69 | 22.7 | 3.9171 | 16 | 26.3 | 3.3885 | 13.1 |
| 62.9 | 1.4776 | 58.9 | 22.8 | 3.9001 | 14.34 | 23.0 | 3.8667 | 100 | 30.1 | 2.9688 | 22.4 |
| 75.1 | 1.2649 | 23.9 | 23.1 | 3.8502 | 100.00 | 25.9 | 3.4399 | 30 | 31.5 | 2.8400 | 29.2 |
| 78.,5 | 1.2184 | 24.0 | 26.0 | 3.4269 | 37.66 | 26.7 | 3.3386 | 40 | 32.9 | 2.7223 | 15.4 |
| | | | 26.8 | 3.3264 | 18.83 | 27.7 | 3.2203 | 51 | 34.9 | 2.5707 | 17.07 |
| | | | 27.8 | 3.2090 | 48.43 | 28.6 | 3.1210 | 28 | 35.3 | 2.5425 | 17.07 |
| | | | 28.8 | 3.0998 | 21.07 | 28.9 | 3.0893 | 26 | 38.5 | 2.3382 | 22.40 |
| | | | 29.0 | 3.0789 | 14.79 | 31.4 | 2.8488 | 23 | 42.5 | 2.1270 | 9.20 |
| | | | 31.4 | 2.8488 | 15.11 | 34.1 | 2.6292 | 15 | 45.3 | 2.0018 | 8.90 |
| | | | 34.2 | 2.6217 | 11.21 | 35.8 | 2.5081 | 18 | 53.2 | 1.7217 | 16.50 |
| | | | 35.9 | 2.5014 | 10.31 | 37.0 | 2.4295 | 26 | 53.6 | 1.7098 | 19.0 |
| | | | 37.0 | 2.4295 | 14.34 | 42.6 | 2.1222 | 25 | | | |
| | | | 42.9 | 2.1080 | 12.55 | 43.2 | 2.0941 | 23 | | | |
| | | | 47.9 | 1.8990 | 13.0 | 47.8 | 1.9028 | 13 | | | |
| | | | 51.3 | 1.7609 | 14.79 | 51.2 | 1.7841 | 14 | | | |
| | | | 53.1 | 1.7247 | 10.76 | 53.1 | 1.7247 | 14 | | | |
| | | | 55.8 | 1.6474 | 8.96 | 53.9 | 1.7009 | 16 | | | |
| | | | | | | 62.3 | 1.4903 | 13 | | | |

 TABLE V

 Comparison of X-Ray Powder Diffraction Patterns of the Reactants and Product

capillary experiment confirms the diffusion tendency of sulfur toward nickel oxide. The energy needed to break the S₈ ring is reported (1) to be 14.5 kcal/mole. In the capillary diffusion method, the overall energy of activation is found to be 25.5 kal/mole. Thus this amount of energy will be sufficient to break the S₈ ring into ionic sulfur, which reacts with nickel ions present in nickel oxide (9).

The TGA data fit best in Jander's equation $[1 - (1 - \alpha)^{1/3}]^2 = kt$. A plot given in Fig. 4 indicates that the overall energy of activation of the reaction is 20.6 kcal/mole. This further explains that energy greater than 14.5 kcal/mole will be sufficient to break the S₈ ring. Consequently ionic sulfur reacts with nickel ions.



FIG. 4. Test of Jander's equation. Plot of $[1 - (1 - \alpha)^{1/3}]^2$ vs t.

| TABLE V | l |
|---------|---|
|---------|---|

Isothermal TG Analysis of Mixture of Sulfur and Nickel Oxide at Different Temperatures, $(\alpha = Fractional Weight Loss)$

| | Temperature | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Time | 95°C | 105°C | | | |
| (min) | $\alpha \times 10^{-3}$ | $\alpha \times 10^{-3}$ | | | |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| 20 | 116.5 | 128 | | | |
| 40 | 207 | 214 | | | |
| 60 | 244 | 356 | | | |
| 80 | 266 | 374 | | | |
| 100 | 289 | 394 | | | |
| 120 | 314 | 420 | | | |
| 140 | 337 | 451 | | | |
| 160 | 354 | 463 | | | |
| 180 | 370 | 473 | | | |
| 200 | 376 | 479 | | | |
| 220 | 383.5 | 482 | | | |
| 240 | 388.5 | 482 | | | |
| 260 | 392 | | | | |
| 280 | 393.5 | — | | | |
| 300 | 395 | _ | | | |
| 320 | 395.5 | — | | | |
| 340 | 395.5 | — | | | |

In order to study the kinetics of the reaction, the kinetic data fit best in the equation $\xi^n = kt$, where ξ is the thickness of the product band $(l_2 - l_1)$ at time t, n is a constant, and k is the rate of diffusion. The plot of ξ vs t is given in Fig. 5. The slope of the test equation $n \log \xi = \log k + \log t$ gives the values of n and k, which are given in Table



FIG. 5. Capillary diffusion of nickel oxide and cyclooctasulfur at different temperatures. Plot of band width (ξ) vs time.



FIG. 6. Plot of log ξ vs log *t* (by the equation $\xi^n = kt$).

II, and the plot of $\log \xi$ vs $\log t$ is given in Fig. 6. The plot of the Arrhenius equation,

$$\log \frac{k_2}{1k_1} = \frac{E_a}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2} \right),$$

shown in Fig. 7, gives the energy of activation.

It has been concluded from the kinetic data of the capillary method that the value of *n* is found to be 2 at $100 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C.



FIG. 7. Arrhenius plot of log K vs 1/T for activation energy.

2. Mechanism of Chemical Interaction between Nickel Oxide and Sulfur

that NiO_{1+x} $\cdot \frac{1}{3}$ H₂O and S₈ react in a 3:1 molar ratio. The whole reaction has been explained with the help of TG analysis given below:

Isothermal gravimetric analysis showed

1. TG analysis for nickel oxide from basic nickel carbonate.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{NiCO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Ni(OH)}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow[2 \text{ hr}]{100^{\circ}\text{C}} \\ \text{Green} \end{array} \xrightarrow{100^{\circ}\text{C}} \text{NiCO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Ni(OH)}_2 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}, \\ \text{Green} \end{array}$$

 ${}^{\frac{3}{2}}O_2 + \text{NiCO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Ni(OH)}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2O \xrightarrow[6 \text{ hr}]{}^{140^{\circ}\text{C}} \frac{3\text{NiO}_{1+x}}{6\text{ hr}} 3\text{NiO}_{1+x}(x \approx 1, \text{ surplus oxygen}) + CO_2 + 6\text{H}_2O,$ Green

$$\operatorname{NiO}_{1+x}(x \approx 1) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{room temp.} 24 \operatorname{hr}} \operatorname{NiO}_{1+x} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{O},$$

Black \longleftarrow Black,

 $\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{NiO}_{1+x} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{H}_2 O \xrightarrow[]{1 \text{ hr}} \operatorname{Black} \\ \operatorname{Black} \\ \operatorname{NiO}_{1+x}(x \approx 1) \xrightarrow[]{2 \text{ hr.}} \\ \operatorname{Black} \\ \operatorname{NiO}_{1+x}(x \approx 1) \xrightarrow[]{360-800^{\circ} \text{C}} \\ \operatorname{Black} \\ \operatorname{NiO}_{1+x}(x \approx 1) \xrightarrow[]{2 \text{ hr.}} \\ \operatorname{Black} \\ \operatorname{Green} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{NiO}_{1+x} + \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{H}_2 O, \\ \operatorname{Black} \\ \operatorname{SiO}_{1+x} + \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{H}_2 O, \\ \operatorname{Black} \\ \operatorname{SiO}_{1+x} + \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{H}_2 O, \\ \operatorname{Black} \\ \operatorname{SiO}_{1+x} + \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{H}_2 O, \\ \operatorname{SiO}_{1+x} + \frac{$

2. TG analysis for nickel sulfide.

- (i) $\operatorname{NiO}_{1+x} \cdot \frac{1}{3}H_2O \xrightarrow{105^\circ C, 17 \text{ hr}} \operatorname{NiO}_{1+x} + \frac{1}{3}H_2O,$ Black $\leftarrow ---- Black,$
- (ii) $S_8 \xrightarrow{105^{\circ}C, 17 \text{ hr}} S_8$ (Yellow, no wt. loss), (Yellow) $\overleftarrow{}_{\text{Room temp., 24 hr}}$, (iii) $3\text{NiO}_{1+x} \cdot \frac{1}{3}\text{H}_2\text{O} + S_8 \xrightarrow{105^{\circ}C, 45 \text{ min}} [3\text{NiO:6.5S}^{2-}] + 1.5\text{SO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ $(x \approx 1, \text{ surplus oxygen})$ Ash color |

(Black mixture)

$$105^{\circ}C$$
 17 hr
 $Ni_3S_5 \xrightarrow{100^{\circ}C, 2 \text{ hr}}_{\text{Room temp., 24 hr}} Ni_3S_5 \cdot 3H_2O \xrightarrow{\text{Room temp.}}_{24 \text{ hr}} Ni_3S_5 + 1.5 \text{ SO}_2.$

The mechanism of the reaction probably proceeds via a dehydration process of nickel oxide at 100°C. The dehydration process will produce cracks in the lattice of NiO_{1+x} $\cdot \frac{1}{3}$ H₂O. In these cracks sulfur diffuses into the dehydrated lattice of NiO_{1+x}. The diffusion tendency of sulfur has been confirmed by the capillary experiment and, as a consequence, the diffusing S₈ ring, having a bigger size, creates disturbances in the lattice of NiO_{1+x} , reacts with adsorbed active oxygen, and itself gets broken into ionic sulfur. At this stage, evolution of SO_2 gas takes place and the color of the mixture changes from black to ash. The change in color suggests that probably, at such a low temperature, the green color NiO lattice, which has lost adsorbed oxygen with the

evolution of SO_2 gas, is present (2). This whole process occurs within 45 min. The Xray analysis of the ash color compound shows the presence of d values of sulfur and NiO. Finally, a black color compound is obtained with the further evolution of SO_2 gas which involves breaking of the Ni–O bond by interaction with ionic sulfur. By virtue of this, the final product, hydrated trinickel pentasulfide, $Ni_3S_5 \cdot 3H_2O_1$, is obtained, as given in Tables III and VI. This compound absorbs three molecules of water from the atmosphere. It loses the water molecule on heating at 100°C within an hour and regains the water molecule from the atmosphere. Each and every step has been confirmed by TGA experiment and chemical analysis of nickel and sulfur. The evolution of SO₂ gas has further been confirmed by passing the gas into K₂Cr₂O₇ solution, giving rise to a green color due to $Cr_2(SO_4)_3$.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to Professor R. P. Rastogi, Head, Chemistry Department, University of Gorakhpur, Gorakhpur, for providing the facilities and valuable suggestions in the research work. The authors are also thankful to CSIR, New Delhi, for financial support.

References

- J. C. BAILAR, "Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry," Vol. 2, "Chemistry of Sulphur Compound," p. 820, Pergamon, New York (1973).
- H. ROSE AND J. W. MELLOR, "A Comprehensive Treatise on Inorganic and Theoretical Chemistry," Vol. XV, pp. 375-376, 385, 396, Halsted Press, New York (1936).
- 3. W. E. GARNER, "Chemistry of the Solid State," p. 44, Academic Press, New York (1956).
- 4. P. F. WELLER, "Solid State Chemistry and Physics," Vol. 2, p. 543, Dekker, New York (1974).
- 5. A. I. VOGEL, "A Text Book of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis," Vols. 6, 12, pp. 462, 479, (Longmans, Green, London) (1961).
- M. A. BEG AND S. M. ANSARI, J. Solid State Chem. 18, 57–62 (1958).
- 7. I. TSUBOKAWA, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 13, 1433 (1958).
- R. H. CHANG, W. STEWART, AND J. B. WAGNER, "Reactivity of Solids," p. 231, Halsted Press, New York (1972).
- F. A. COTTON AND G. WILKINSON, "Advanced Inorganic Chemistry," Vol. 2, p. 526, Wiley–Interscience, New York (1966).